

FUSION PROCEDURE FOR THE TWO-PARAMETER QUANTUM ALGEBRA $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$

NAIHUAN JING AND MING LIU*

ABSTRACT. Irreducible modules of the two-parameter quantum enveloping algebra $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ are explicitly constructed using the fusion procedure, when rs^{-1} is not a root of unity. This provides an alternative and combinatorial description of the Schur-Weyl duality for two-parameter quantum algebras of type A.

1. INTRODUCTION

Schur-Weyl duality is a classical method to construct irreducible modules of simple Lie groups out of the fundamental representations [22]. The quantum version for the quantum enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ and the Hecke algebra $H_q(\mathfrak{S}_m)$ has been one of the pioneering examples [13] in the fervent development of quantum groups.

Two-parameter general linear and special linear quantum groups [21, 8, 4] are certain generalization of the one-parameter Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum groups [7, 12]. The two-parameter quantum groups also had their origin in the quantum inverse scattering method [20] as well as other approaches [14, 6]. Their systematic study was initiated in [1] in the study of down-up algebras. In particular the Schur-Weyl duality [2] was also generalized to the two-parameter case. As in the classical situation, arbitrary irreducible modules can be constructed using the (r, s) -symmetric tensor $S_{r,s}^2(V)$ and the R-matrix $R = R_{VV}$ for two-parameter quantum group $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$. Alternatively any finite dimensional irreducible $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ -module can be built from the tensor product $V^{\otimes m}$ using the symmetry of the Hecke algebra $H_q(\mathfrak{S}_m)$, where $q = \sqrt{s/r}$.

In this work we would like to give an alternative description of all irreducible representations (rs^{-1} is not a root of unity) using the newly developed fusion procedure [11] in the quantum inverse scattering method [3]. It seems that the two-parameter case can be treated quite similarly using the fusion procedure, thus one can more or less apply the known results from the one-parameter case to get corresponding formulas. Since there is an abstract argument available to construct the irreducible modules for the two-parameter case, we nevertheless give a detailed description of all irreducible $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ -modules using the fusion procedure to supplement the existing

MSC (2010): Primary: 17B30; Secondary: 17B68.

Keywords: two-parameter quantum groups, fusion procedure, Schur-Weyl duality

*Corresponding author.

theory, and we will adapt the one-parameter case into the two parameter situation and try to be self-contained as much as we can for the sake of completeness.

2. TWO-PARAMETER QUANTUM GROUP $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ AND R-MATRIX

We start with the basic definition of the two-parameter quantum group $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ following the notations in [2]. Let $\Pi = \{\alpha_j = \epsilon_j - \epsilon_{j+1} | j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ be the set of the simple roots of type A_{n-1} , where $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n$ are an orthonormal basis of a Euclidean space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The root system is then $\Phi = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j | 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}$. Now fix two nonzero complex numbers r, s .

Definition 2.1. The two-parameter quantum enveloping algebra $U = U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ is the unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ generated by $e_i, f_i, \omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega_i'^{\pm 1}$, $1 \leq i < n$ with the following relations:

- (R1) $\omega_i \omega_j = \omega_j \omega_i$, $\omega_i' \omega_j' = \omega_j' \omega_i'$, $\omega_i \omega_j' = \omega_j' \omega_i$, $\omega_i \omega_i^{-1} = \omega_i' \omega_i'^{-1} = 1$,
- (R2) $\omega_i e_j \omega_i^{-1} = r^{\langle \epsilon_i, \alpha_j \rangle} s^{\langle \epsilon_{i+1}, \alpha_j \rangle} e_j$ and $\omega_i f_j \omega_i^{-1} = r^{-\langle \epsilon_i, \alpha_j \rangle} s^{-\langle \epsilon_{i+1}, \alpha_j \rangle} f_j$,
- (R3) $\omega_i' e_j \omega_i'^{-1} = s^{\langle \epsilon_i, \alpha_j \rangle} r^{\langle \epsilon_{i+1}, \alpha_j \rangle} e_j$ and $\omega_i' f_j \omega_i'^{-1} = s^{-\langle \epsilon_i, \alpha_j \rangle} r^{-\langle \epsilon_{i+1}, \alpha_j \rangle} f_j$,
- (R4) $[e_i, f_j] = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{r-s}(\omega_i - \omega_i')$,
- (R5) $[e_i, e_j] = [f_i, f_j] = 0$, if $|i - j| > 1$,
- (R6) $e_i^2 e_{i+1} - (r+s)e_i e_{i+1} e_i + r s e_{i+1} e_i^2 = 0$ and $e_{i+1}^2 e_i - (r+s)e_{i+1} e_i e_{i+1} + r s e_{i+1}^2 e_i = 0$,
- (R7) $f_i^2 f_{i+1} - (r^{-1} + s^{-1})f_i f_{i+1} f_i + r^{-1} s^{-1} f_{i+1} f_i^2 = 0$ and $f_{i+1}^2 f_i - (r^{-1} + s^{-1})f_{i+1} f_i f_{i+1} + r^{-1} s^{-1} f_{i+1}^2 f_i = 0$,

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the commutator.

Clearly when $r = q$, $s = q^{-1}$, the algebra U modulo the ideal generated by the elements $\omega_j^{-1} - \omega_j'$, $1 \leq j < n$, is isomorphic to $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$.

The algebra $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ is a Hopf algebra under the comultiplication Δ such that ω_i, ω_i' are group-like elements and the other nontrivial comultiplications, counits and antipodes are given by:

$$\Delta(e_i) = e_i \otimes 1 + \omega_i \otimes e_i, \Delta(f_i) = 1 \otimes f_i + f_i \otimes \omega_i',$$

$$\epsilon(e_i) = \epsilon(f_i) = 0, S(e_i) = -\omega_i^{-1} e_i, S(f_i) = -f_i \omega_i'^{-1}.$$

The representation theory $U_{r,s} = U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ is quite similar to that of one-parameter case. We recall some of the basic notations to prepare for later discussion. Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_n$ be the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$, $Q = \mathbb{Z}\Phi$ the root lattice, and $Q_+ = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_i$, where ϵ_i are the orthonormal vectors as before. Recall that Λ is equipped with the partial order given by $\nu \leq \lambda$ if and only if $\lambda - \nu \in Q_+$. We define the fundamental weights by

$$\bar{\omega}_i = \epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_i.$$

Then the weight lattice can be written as $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{sl}_n} = \mathbb{Z}\bar{\omega}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\bar{\omega}_{n-1}$.

For each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{sl}_n}$ one defines the algebra homomorphism $\widehat{\lambda} : U^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by:

$$(2.1) \quad \widehat{\lambda}(\omega_i) = r^{\langle \epsilon_i, \lambda \rangle} s^{\langle \epsilon_{i+1}, \lambda \rangle}, \quad \widehat{\lambda}(\omega'_i) = r^{\langle \epsilon_{i+1}, \lambda \rangle} s^{\langle \epsilon_i, \lambda \rangle},$$

where U^0 is the subalgebra of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ generated by $\omega_j^{\pm 1}, \omega'_j{}^{\pm 1}$ ($1 \leq j < n$). When rs^{-1} is not a root of unity, the homomorphisms $\widehat{\lambda} = \widehat{\mu}$ if and only if the corresponding weights $\lambda = \mu$. These homomorphisms are called generalized weights. For an algebra homomorphism $\chi : U^0 \mapsto \mathbb{C}^\times$ one defines the generalized weight subspace of $U_{r,s}$ -module M by

$$M_\chi = \{v \in M \mid (\omega_i - \chi(\omega_i))^m v = (\omega'_i - \chi(\omega'_i))^m v = 0, \text{ for all } i \text{ and for some } m\}.$$

If $m = 1$ the subspace M_χ becomes a weight subspace associated with the homomorphism χ . Since U^0 is commutative, it is easy to see that any finite dimensional $U_{r,s}$ -module M can be decomposed into generalized weight subspaces:

$$(2.2) \quad M = \bigoplus_{\chi} M_\chi.$$

When all generalized weights in M are of the form $\chi \cdot (-\widehat{\alpha})$ for a fixed χ and α varying in Q_+ (here $\chi \cdot (-\widehat{\alpha})(a_i) = \chi(a_i)(-\widehat{\alpha})(a_i)$ and $\chi \cdot (-\widehat{\alpha})(b_i) = \chi(b_i)(-\widehat{\alpha})(b_i)$), we say M is a *highest weight module* of weight χ and write $M = M(\chi)$. Benkart and Witherspoon [2] have shown that when M is simple, all generalized weight subspaces are actually weight subspaces. Moreover, if all generalized weights are of the form $\widehat{\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in P$, we will simply write M_λ for $M_{\widehat{\lambda}}$, and similarly the highest weight module $M(\widehat{\lambda})$ will be denoted as $M(\lambda)$.

One can also define the notion of Verma modules $M(\lambda)$ as in the classical situation. It is known [2] that all finite dimensional $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ -modules are realized as simple quotients of Verma modules. We will write by $V(\lambda)$ the simple quotient of the Verma module $M(\lambda)$.

Now let us look at the simplest irreducible module $V(\overline{\omega}_1)$. Let V be the n -dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with basis $\{v_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\}$, and $E_{ij} \in \text{End}(V)$ are defined by $E_{ij}v_k = \delta_{jk}v_i$. The defining $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ -module V has the following action given by:

$$\begin{aligned} e_j &= E_{j,j+1}, \quad f_j = E_{j+1,j}, \\ \omega_j &= rE_{jj} + sE_{j+1,j+1} + \sum_{k \neq j, j+1} E_{kk}, \\ \omega'_j &= sE_{jj} + sE_{j+1,j+1} + \sum_{k \neq j, j+1} E_{kk}, \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. It is clear that $V = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n V_{\epsilon_j}$ and it is the simple $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ -module $V(\overline{\omega}_1)$.

Let $\check{R} = \check{R}_{VV} : V \otimes V \longrightarrow V \otimes V$ be the R -matrix defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \check{R} = \sum_{i=1}^n E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + r \sum_{i < j} E_{ji} \otimes E_{ij} + s^{-1} \sum_{i < j} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji} + (1 - rs^{-1}) \sum_{i < j} E_{jj} \otimes E_{ii},$$

which is essentially determined by the simple module V and the comultiplication Δ (see Prop. 2.2). For each i let $\check{R}_i$ be the $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ module isomorphism on $V^{\otimes k}$ defined by

$$\check{R}_i(w_1 \otimes w_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_k) = w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \check{R}(w_i \otimes w_{i+1}) \otimes w_{i+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_k.$$

Then we have the braid relations:

$$(2.4) \quad \check{R}_i \check{R}_{i+1} \check{R}_i = \check{R}_{i+1} \check{R}_i \check{R}_{i+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq i < k,$$

The construction also implies that for $|i - j| \geq 2$,

$$\check{R}_i \check{R}_j = \check{R}_j \check{R}_i.$$

Moreover one can directly check that

$$(2.5) \quad \check{R}_i^2 = (1 - \frac{r}{s}) \check{R}_i + \frac{r}{s} Id$$

for all $1 \leq i < k$. In particular, the minimum polynomial of $\check{R}$ on $V \otimes V$ is $(t-1)(t+\frac{r}{s})$ if $s \neq -r$ [2].

Proposition 2.2. [2] *The endomorphisms $\check{R}_i \in \text{End}(V^{\otimes k})$ commute with the action of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ on $V^{\otimes k}$.*

Proof. By the Hopf algebra structure of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ it is enough to check this for $k = 3$. Then it will be a direct verification that $\check{R}$ commutes with $\Delta(e_i), \Delta(f_i), \Delta(\omega_i), \Delta(\omega'_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ on the fundamental representation $V(\bar{\omega}_1)$. $\square$

3. YANG-BAXTERIZATION AND THE WEDGE MODULES OF $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$

Fusion procedure relies on the spectral parameterized R -matrix $\check{R}(z)$, which satisfies the so-called quantum Yang-Baxter equation. The Yang-Baxter equation (YBE) is the following matrix equation on $V^{\otimes 3}$:

$$(3.1) \quad \check{R}_1(z) \check{R}_2(zw) \check{R}_1(w) = \check{R}_2(w) \check{R}_1(zw) \check{R}_2(z).$$

where $\check{R}(0) = \check{R}$. The Yang-Baxterization method recovers the spectral parameter dependent R -matrix $\check{R}(z)$ from the initial condition (2.4) of $\check{R}$.

The Yang-Baxterization process was carried out for the two-parameter R -matrix in [16] using the method of [9].

Proposition 3.1. [16] *For the braid group representation $\check{R} = \check{R}_{VV}$, the R -matrix $\check{R}(z)$ is given by*

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \check{R}(z) = & (1 - zrs^{-1}) \sum_{i=1}^n E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + (1 - z)(r \sum_{i>j} + s^{-1} \sum_{i<j}) E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji} \\ & + z(1 - rs^{-1}) \sum_{i<j} E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj} + (1 - rs^{-1}) \sum_{i>j} E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.2. Clearly $\check{R}(0) = \check{R}$. Moreover, when $r = q$ and $s = q^{-1}$, the R -matrix $\check{R}(z)$ turns into

$$\check{R}_q(z) = (1 - zq^2) \sum_{i=1}^n E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + (1 - z)q \sum_{i \neq j} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji} + (1 - q^2) \left(\sum_{i>j} + z \sum_{i<j} \right) E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj},$$

which is exactly the Jimbo R -matrix for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ [12]. In this regard we can view $\check{R}(z)$ as an (r, s) -analogue of the R -matrix $\check{R}_q(z)$ of the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ [10]. The one-parameter R -matrix can also be used to treat the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$ [19].

In order to construct all irreducible U -modules we introduce a normalized R -matrix with two spectral parameters

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \check{R}(x, y) &= \frac{sy\check{R}(x/y)}{y - x} \\ &= \frac{sy - rx}{y - x} \sum_{i=1}^n E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + (sr \sum_{i>j} + \sum_{i<j}) E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji} \\ &\quad + \frac{(s - rx)}{y - x} \sum_{i<j} E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj} + \frac{s - r}{y - x} \sum_{i>j} E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj}. \end{aligned}$$

The original YBE immediately implies the following Yang-Baxter equation:

$$(3.4) \quad \check{R}_i(x, y) \check{R}_{i+1}(x, z) \check{R}_i(y, z) = \check{R}_{i+1}(y, z) \check{R}_i(x, z) \check{R}_{i+1}(x, y).$$

Let $S_{r,s}^2(V)$ be the subspace of $V \otimes V$ spanned by $\{v_i \otimes v_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{v_i \otimes v_j + sv_j \otimes v_i | 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ and $\Lambda_{r,s}^2(V)$ be the subspace of $V \otimes V$ spanned by $\{v_i \otimes v_j - rv_j \otimes v_i | 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$.

Proposition 3.3. [16] *The subspace $S_{r,s}^2(V)$ is equal to the image of $\check{R}(1, rs^{-1})$ on $V \otimes V$, and $\Lambda_{r,s}^2(V)$ is equal to the image of $\check{R}(1, r^{-1}s)$ on $V \otimes V$.*

Remark 3.4. The above result is equivalent to $S_{r,s}^2(V) = \text{Ker } \check{R}(1, sr^{-1})$ and $\Lambda_{r,s}^2(V) = \text{Ker } \check{R}(1, s^{-1}r)$. This suggests that special values of the Yang-Baxter matrix can lead to irreducible modules, which we will show in general in section 6.

Proposition 3.5. [16] *The k th fundamental representation of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ can be realized as the following quotient of the k -fold tensor product*

$$(3.5) \quad V^{\otimes k} / \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} V^{\otimes i} \otimes S_{r,s}^2(V) \otimes V^{\otimes (k-i-2)} \cong V(\bar{\omega}_k).$$

In section 6 we will give an alternative way to construct all irreducible modules using the Yang-Baxter equation.

4. THE HECKE ALGEBRA AND THE SCHUR-WEYL DUALITY FOR $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$

For any $r, s \in \mathbb{C}$, we introduce the Hecke algebra $H_m(r, s)$ as follows.

Definition 4.1. The Hecke algebra $H_m(r, s)$ is the unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with generators T_i , $1 \leq i < m$, subject to the following relations:

$$(H1): T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i < m,$$

$$(H2): T_i T_j = T_j T_i, \quad |i - j| > 1,$$

$$(H3): (T_i - 1)(T_i + \frac{r}{s}) = 0.$$

Remark 4.2. When $r \neq 0$, the elements $t_i = \sqrt{\frac{s}{r}} T_i$ satisfy

$$(H3') : (t_i - \sqrt{\frac{s}{r}})(t_i + \sqrt{\frac{r}{s}}) = 0.$$

If we set $q = \sqrt{\frac{s}{r}}$, the two parameter Hecke algebra $H_m(r, s)$ is isomorphic to $H_m(q)$, the Hecke algebra associated to the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_m$. By a well-known result of Hecke algebras $H_m(r, s)$ is semisimple whenever $\sqrt{\frac{s}{r}}$ is not a root of unity.

From Section 2, it is easy to verify that the $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ -module $V^{\otimes m}$ affords a representation of Hecke algebra $H_m(r, s)$:

$$(4.1) \quad H_m(r, s) \rightarrow \text{End}_{U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)}(V^{\otimes m})$$

$$T_i \mapsto \check{R}_i \quad (1 \leq i < m).$$

Benkart and Witherspoon [2] gave a two parameter analogue of Schur-Weyl duality for $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ and the Hecke algebra $H_{r,s}(\mathfrak{S}_k)$ associated with the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_k$. We recall the Schur-Weyl duality as follows.

Proposition 4.3. [2] *Assume rs^{-1} is not a root of unity. Then:*

(i) $H_m(r, s)$ maps surjectively onto $\text{End}_{U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)}(V^{\otimes m})$.

(ii) if $n \geq m$, $H_m(r, s)$ is isomorphic to $\text{End}_{U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)}(V^{\otimes m})$.

Let λ be a partition. We denote by $l(\lambda)$ the length of λ .

Corollary 4.4. *When rs^{-1} is not a root of unity, as an $(U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \otimes H_m(r, s))$ -module, the space $V^{\otimes m}$ has the following decomposition:*

$$V^{\otimes m} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda} V_{\lambda} \otimes V^{\lambda},$$

where the partition λ of m runs over the set of partition such that $l(\lambda) \leq n$, V_λ is the $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ -module associated to λ , V^λ is the $H_m(r, s)$ -module corresponding to λ .

Remark 4.5. The above theorem is a two-parameter version of the well-known result of Jimbo [13].

In section 6 we will give detailed information on the idempotents, which will then give realization of all irreducible modules.

5. THE ORTHOGONAL PRIMITIVE IDEMPOTENTS OF $H_m(r, s)$

For any index $i = 1, \dots, m-1$, let $s_i = (i, i+1)$ be the adjacent transposition in the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_m$. Take any element $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ and choose a reduced decomposition $\sigma = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_k}$. Denote $T_\sigma = T_{i_1} T_{i_2} \dots T_{i_k}$, this element in $H_m(r, s)$ does not depend on the expression of σ .

The Jucy-Murphy elements of $H_m(r, s)$ are defined inductively by

$$(5.1) \quad y_1 = 1, \quad y_{k+1} = \frac{s}{r} T_k y_k T_k,$$

where $k = 1, \dots, m-1$. These elements satisfy

$$y_k T_l = T_l y_k, \quad l \neq k, k-1.$$

In particular, $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$ generate a commutative subalgebra of $H_m(r, s)$. For any $k = 1, \dots, m$, we let w_k denote the unique longest element of symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_k$, which is regarded as the natural subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_m$. The corresponding elements $T_{w_k} \in H_m(r, s)$ are given by $T_{w_1} = 1$ and

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{w_k} &= T_1(T_2 T_1) \dots (T_{k-2} T_{k-3} \dots T_1)(T_{k-1} T_{k-2} \dots T_1) \\ &= (T_1 \dots T_{k-2} T_{k-1})(T_1 \dots T_{k-3} T_{k-2}) \dots (T_1 T_2) T_1, \quad k = 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

It can be verified easily that

$$(5.3) \quad T_{w_k} T_j = T_{k-j} T_{w_k}, \quad 1 \leq j < k \leq m,$$

$$(5.4) \quad T_{w_k}^2 = \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{k-1} y_1 y_2 \dots y_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m.$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, m-1$, we define the elements [18]:

$$(5.5) \quad T_i(x, y) = s T_i + \frac{s-r}{\frac{y}{x}-1},$$

where x, y are complex variables. We will regard $T_i(x, y)$ as a rational functions in x, y with values in $H_m(r, s)$. These functions satisfy the braid relations:

$$(5.6) \quad T_i(x, y) T_{i+1}(x, z) T_i(y, z) = T_{i+1}(y, z) T_i(x, z) T_{i+1}(x, y).$$

We will identify a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l)$ of m with its Young diagram. The Young diagram is a left-justified array of rows of cells such that the first row contains λ_1 cells, the second row contains λ_2 cells, etc. A cell outside λ is called addable to λ

if the union of λ and the cell is a Young diagram. A λ -*tableau* is obtained by filling in the cells of the Young diagram bijectively with the numbers $1, \dots, m$. A tableau T is called standard if the entries of the tableau increase along the rows and down the columns. If a cell occurs in the (i, j) -th position, its (r, s) -content will be defined as $(\frac{s}{r})^{j-i}$. Let σ_k denote the (r, s) -content of the cell occupied by k in T .

Example 5.1. For $\lambda = (2, 1)$, the corresponding Young diagram is



The cells at the positions $(1, 3)$, $(2, 2)$, $(3, 1)$ are the addable cells. For λ -tableau

$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 \\ \hline 2 & \\ \hline \end{array}$, its (r, s) -contents are $\sigma_1 = 1$, $\sigma_2 = \frac{r}{s}$, $\sigma_3 = \frac{s}{r}$.

A set of primitive idempotents of $H_m(r, s)$ parameterized by partitions λ of m and the standard λ -tableaux T can now be defined inductively by the following rule [5]. Set $E_T^\lambda = 1$ if $m = 1$, whereas for $m \geq 2$, one defines inductively that

$$(5.7) \quad E_T^\lambda = E_U^\mu \frac{(y_m - \rho_1) \dots (y_m - \rho_k)}{(\sigma - \rho_1) \dots (\sigma - \rho_k)},$$

where U is the tableau obtained from T by removing the cell α occupied by m , μ is the shape of U , and $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_k$ are the (r, s) -contents of all the addable cells of μ except for α , while σ is the (r, s) -content of the latter.

These elements satisfy the characteristic property that if λ and λ' are partitions of m

$$(5.8) \quad E_T^\lambda E_{T'}^{\lambda'} = \delta_{\lambda, \lambda'} \delta_{T, T'} E_T^\lambda$$

for arbitrary standard tableaux T and T' of shapes λ and λ' respectively. Moreover,

$$(5.9) \quad \sum_{\lambda} \sum_T E_T^\lambda = 1,$$

summed over all partitions λ of m and all the standard λ -tableaux T .

6. FUSION FORMULAS FOR THE ORTHOGONAL PRIMITIVE IDEMPOTENTS OF

$$H_m(r, s)$$

We now apply the fusion formulas [11] to the situation of the two-parameter quantum algebra to derive a corresponding formula for the idempotents of $H_m(r, s)$, which can then be used to construct all the irreducible $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ -modules.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$ be a partition of m , $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_{l'})$ the conjugate partition of λ , define

$$b(\lambda) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \binom{\lambda'_i}{2}.$$

If $\alpha = (i, j)$ is a cell of λ , then the corresponding hook is defined as $h_\alpha = \lambda_i + \lambda'_j - i - j + 1$.

Now we introduce the rational function in complex variables $u_1, \dots, u_m$ with values in $H_m(r, s)$,

$$\Psi(u_1, \dots, u_m) = \prod_{k=1, \dots, m-1} (T_k(u_1, u_{k+1}) T_{k-1}(u_2, u_{k+1}) \dots T_1(u_k, u_{k+1})) \cdot T_{w_m}^{-1},$$

where the product is carried out in the order of $k = 1, \dots, m-1$. The following theorem is obtained by a similar argument as in the one-parameter case [11].

Theorem 6.1. *For the partition λ of m and a λ -tableau T , the idempotents E_T^λ can be obtained by the consecutive evaluations*

$$(6.1) \quad E_T^\lambda = f(\lambda) \Psi(u_1, \dots, u_m)|_{u_1=\sigma_1} |_{u_2=\sigma_2} \dots |_{u_m=\sigma_m},$$

where

$$f(\lambda) = \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{b(\lambda')} s^{-\binom{m}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{s}{r}\right)^m \prod_{\alpha \in \lambda} \left(1 - \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{h_\alpha}\right)^{-1}.$$

Example 6.2. For $m = 2$ and $\lambda = (2)$ we get

$$E_T^\lambda = f(\lambda)(sT_1 + r),$$

where $f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{r+s}$, for the standard tableau $T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. In particular, $\sigma_1 = 1$, $\sigma_2 = \frac{s}{r}$.

Example 6.3. For $m = 2$ and $\lambda = (1, 1)$, we get

$$E_T^\lambda = f(\lambda) \frac{s^2}{r} (1 - T_1),$$

where $f(\lambda) = \frac{r}{s(r+s)}$, for the standard tableau $T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$. In particular, $\sigma_1 = 1$, $\sigma_2 = \frac{r}{s}$.

Example 6.4. For $m = 3$, $\lambda = (1, 1, 1)$, $T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$, we have

$$\Psi(u_1, u_2, u_3)|_{u_1=\sigma_1} |_{u_2=\sigma_2} |_{u_3=\sigma_3} = \frac{s^6}{r^3} (1 - T_1 - T_2 + T_1 T_2 + T_2 T_1 - T_1 T_2 T_1),$$

and $f(\lambda) = \frac{r^3}{(s+r)(s^2+rs+r^2)s^3}$. Thus we get

$$E_T^\lambda = \frac{s^3}{(s+r)(s^2+rs+r^2)} (1 - T_1 - T_2 + T_1 T_2 + T_2 T_1 - T_1 T_2 T_1),$$

which is the same from formula (5.7).

Since E_T^λ is a primitive idempotent of $H_m(r, s)$, E_T^λ acts on the irreducible module V^λ of $H_m(r, s)$ as a projector on a 1-dimensional subspace and when $\lambda \neq \lambda'$, E_T^λ annihilates the irreducible $H_m(r, s)$ -module $V^{\lambda'}$. Furthermore, using Corollary 4.4, we can get the following explicit description of the irreducible modules of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$.

Theorem 6.5. *For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$ of m with length $l \leq n$ and T a standard λ -tableau, then*

$$V(\lambda) = E_T^\lambda(V^{\otimes m})$$

is the finite dimensional irreducible representation of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ with the highest weight $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}) \bar{\omega}_i$.

Acknowledgments

We thank Alex Molev for discussions on fusion procedures. NJ gratefully acknowledges the partial support of Simons Foundation, Humbolt Foundation, NSFC, “Mathematical Methods from Physics” in Summer of 2013 at KITPC, and MPI for Mathematics in the Sciences in Leipzig during this work. This work was reported at Representation Theory XIII Dubrovnik, Croatia, June 21–27, 2013.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Benkart and S. Witherspoon, *A Hopf structure for down-up algebras*, Math. Z. 238 (2001), 523–553.
- [2] G. Benkart and S. Witherspoon, *Representations of two-parameter quantum groups and Schur-Weyl duality*, In: Hopf algebras, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 237, New York:Dekker, 2004, pp. 62–92.
- [3] I. Cherednick, *A new interpretation of Gelfand-Tsetlin bases*, Duke Math. J. 54 (1987), 563–577.
- [4] R. Dipper and S. Donkin, *Quantum GL_n* , Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 63 (1991), 165–211.
- [5] R. Dipper and G. James, *Blocks and idempotents of Hecke algebras of general linear groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 54 (1987), 57–82.
- [6] V. Dobrev, *Duality for the matrix quantum group $GL_{p,q}(2, C)$* , J. Math. Phys. 33 (1992), 3419–3430.
- [7] V. G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, Proc. ICM, Vol. 1, 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1986), pp.798–820, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [8] J. Du, B. Parshall and J. Wang, *Two-parameter quantum linear groups and the hyperbolic invariance of q -Schur algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2)44 (1991), 420–436.
- [9] M.-L. Ge, Y.-S. Wu and K. Xue, *Explicit trigonometric Yang-Baxterization*, Int. J. of Modern Phys. A 6(21) (1991), 3735–3779.
- [10] N. Hu, M. Rosso and H. Zhang, *Two-parameter quantum affine algebra $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$, Drinfeld realization and quantum affine Lyndon basis*, Commun. Math. Phys. 278 (2008), 453–486.
- [11] A.P. Isaev, A.I. Molev, A.F. Os’kin, *On the idempotents of Hecke algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. 85 (2008), 79–90.
- [12] M. Jimbo, *A q -difference analogue of $U(g)$ and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. 10 (1985), 63–69.
- [13] M. Jimbo, *A q -analogue of $U(gl_n(N+1))$, Hecke algebra, and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. 11 (1986), 247–252.
- [14] N. Jing, *Quantum groups with two parameters*, Contemp. Math. 134 (1992), 129–138.
- [15] N. Jing, K. Misra and M. Okado, *q -Wedge modules for quantized enveloping algebras of classical type*, J. Algebra 230 (2000), 518–539.
- [16] N. Jing, L. Zhang, M. Liu, *Wedge modules for two-parameter quantum groups*, Contemp. Math. 602 (2013), 115–121.
- [17] Y. Manin, *Topics in noncommutative geometry*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1991.

- [18] M. Nazarov, *A mixed hook-length formula for affine Hecke algebras*, European J. Combin. 25 (2004), 1345–1376.
- [19] L. Poulain d’Andecy, *Fusion procedure for the Yang-Baxter equation and Schur-Weyl duality*, arXiv:1307.6808.
- [20] N. Reshetikhin, *Multiparameter quantum groups and twisted quasitriangular Hopf algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. 20 (1990), 331–335.
- [21] M. Takeuchi, *A two-parameter quantization of $GL(n)$* , Proc. Japan. Acad. 66 Ser. A (1990), 112–114.
- [22] H. Weyl, *The classical groups; their invariants and representations*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1946.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, RALEIGH, NC 27695, USA AND SCHOOL OF SCIENCES, SOUTH CHINA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, GUANGZHOU 510640, CHINA

E-mail address: jing@math.ncsu.edu

CHERN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, CHINA

E-mail address: ming.11984@gmail.com